# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

JAMES HAYDEN,

Plaintiff,

v.

2K GAMES, INC. and TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE, INC.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:17-cv-02635-CAB

JUDGE CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO

# <u>DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS, OBJECTIONS, COUNTER-DESIGNATIONS, AND COUNTER OBJECTIONS FOR THE DEPOSITION OF LEBRON JAMES (DKT. 299)</u>

Pursuant to the Court's February 8, 2024 order (Dkt. 255) and March 14, 2024 Order (Dkt. 281) Defendants 2K Games, Inc. and Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc. (collectively, "Take-Two") respectfully submit its deposition designations, Plaintiff's objections and counter-designations, and Take-Two's counter-objections for the deposition of LeBron James, which is filed as Docket No. 299.

Take-Two reserves its right to submit as counter-designated deposition testimony any testimony affirmatively or counter-designated by Plaintiff, regardless of whether Plaintiff later withdraws that affirmative or counter-designated testimony. Take-Two further reserves its right to supplement its designations and objections, including designations for authentication purposes; and to use any deposition testimony that does not appear in these designations for purposes of impeachment. Take-Two is continuing its investigation and trial preparation and reserves the right, in appropriate circumstances and in accordance with all applicable Federal Rules, Local Rules, and Court Orders, to amend, supplement, and/or withdraw their designations

and objections, including, but not limited to, in response to further negotiations between the parties, Court Orders and rulings, and other developments in the case.

#### LEBRON JAMES - Docket No. 299 Α.

Below, Take-Two submits its deposition designations, Plaintiff's objections and counterdesignations, and Take-Two's counter-objections for the deposition of LeBron James, which is filed as Docket No. 299. Designations, objections, counter-designations, and counter-objections are identified by transcript page and line numbers.

<b>Defs.' Designations</b>	Pl.'s Objections	Pl.'s Counters	Defs.' Counter- Objections
10:3-10:6			
18:1-18:3		18:4-5	
18:6-18:9			
18:22-18:24	MIL		
19:10-19:19	MIL		
20:7-20:8	MIL	20:3-20:6	R, 403
22:14-16	MIL	20:9-22:13	
23:25-24:11		23:7-24	MIL, R
24:17-24:25		28:15-29:21	,
29:22-30:3			
30:5-30:9		31:7-9	
30:11-30:16			
32:22-33:7			
33:14-34:3		34:4 - 11	
34:12-34:22		34:24-38:22	34:24-35:13 – F, K, R
			35:14-16 – R
			35:17-22 – F, K, R
			35:22-36:4 – F, K, R, V
			36:5-9 – F, K
			36:12-15 – F, K
			36:16-17 – T
			36:18 – Struck Question

#### Legend for Pl.'s Objections

AA - Asked and answered

AR – Argumentative

AF - Assumes facts not in evidence

FD - Foundation

FM-Form

HR - Hearsay

IH – Incomplete hypothetical

 $LC-Legal\ conclusion$ 

LD – Leading

MD – Mischaracterizes document

MR - Misstates the record

NA - Not authenticated

NT - Not Testimony/Attorney Colloquy

NR - Not responsive to question

PV – Privileged

PR - Prejudicial

RV - Relevance

 $SP-Calls\ for\ speculation$ 

MIL - Evidence subject to exclusion from Motion in Limine

### **Legend for Defs.' Counter-Objections**

LC - Calls for legal conclusion

SC - Outside scope of 30(b)(6) topics/deposition

C - Compound

M - Mischaracterizes testimony

F - Lack of foundation

HR - Hearsay

MIL - Subject of granted motion in limine

T - Not testimony

H - Improper/incomplete hypothetical

Q - Not a question

V - Vague

P - Calls for Privileged Information

IO - Improper opinion

R - Irrelevant

403 - FRE 403

K - Lack of personal knowledge S - Calls for speculation

A - Assumes facts not in evidence

<b>Defs.' Designations</b>	Pl.'s Objections	Pl.'s Counters	Defs.' Counter- Objections
	Objections		36:19-22 – C
			36:25 – T
			37:3-14 – F, K, R
			37:15 – T
			37:16 – F, K, R
			37:25-38:1 – R, V, K
39:1-39:9		40:11-40:13	
39:23-39:25			
40:14-40:19			
45:5-45:24		44:3-45:4	44:24-45:4 – V
45:25-46:12		46:13-47:23, 56:23-	47:1-12 – R, K, F
		57:1, 57:17-59:2, 59:4	47:10-12 – F, LC, IO
			47:13-14 – T
			47:15 – F, LC, IO
			57:22-24 – MIL
			58:17-20 – IO, LC, F, K
66:14-67:3		61:25-62:3, 62:5,	61:25-62:3, 62:5 –
		67:12-15, 67:22-	Incomplete, Not Counter
		68:21, 72:10-14,	Testimony
		72:17, 72:19-73:2	72:19-25 – V, R
73:3-7			
73:20-73:23		73:24-74:2	R
76:10-76:13			
76:16-76:21			
76:23-76:24			
77:2-77:10			
77:15-77:18			
77:20-77:25			
78:5-78:5			
78:7-78:9			
78:10-78:23	MIL	78:24-79:2, 79:6-7,	78:24-79:2 – MIL, LC,
		80:19-21, 80:25-81:1	403

### **Legend for Pl.'s Objections**

 $AA-Asked \ and \ answered$ 

AR – Argumentative

AF – Assumes facts not in evidence

FD-Foundation

FM-Form

HR - Hearsay

IH – Incomplete hypothetical

IO - Improper opinion

R - Irrelevant

403 - FRE 403 K - Lack of personal knowledge

S - Calls for speculation

A - Assumes facts not in evidence

 $LC-Legal\ conclusion$ 

LD – Leading

MD – Mischaracterizes document

 $MR-Misstates \ the \ record$ 

NA - Not authenticated

NT - Not Testimony/Attorney Colloquy

NR - Not responsive to question

PV – Privileged

PR - Prejudicial

RV – Relevance

 $SP-Calls\ for\ speculation$ 

MIL - Evidence subject to exclusion from Motion in Limine

#### **Legend for Defs.' Counter-Objections**

LC - Calls for legal conclusion

SC - Outside scope of 30(b)(6) topics/deposition

C - Compound

M - Mischaracterizes testimony

F - Lack of foundation

HR - Hearsay

MIL - Subject of granted motion in limine

T - Not testimony

H - Improper/incomplete hypothetical

Q - Not a question V - Vague

Defs.' Designations	Pl.'s	Pl.'s Counters	Defs.' Counter-
	Objections		Objections 50.65 NW V. G. 402
			79:6-7 – MIL, LC 403
			80:19-21 – F, S, LC IO
01 ( 01 1 (	) (III		80:25-81:1 – F, S, LC, IO
81:6-81:16	MIL		
81:17-81:19			02 0 1 1 7 77
81:22-81:22		82:9-14, 82:17-19,	82:9-14 – F, K
		90:17-22, 91:1, 91:4-	82:17-19 – F, K
		6, 91:10, 93:14-22,	90:17-22 – K, S
		93:25, 94:2-6, 94:8-9	91:1 – K, S
			91:4-6 – K, S
			91:10 – K, S
			93:14-19 – R, 403
			91:20-22 – R, 403, LC
			93:25 – R, 403, LC 94:2-5 – R, 403, LC
86:14-86:19			94:5-6, 8-9 – R, 403, IO
86:22-86:23			
86:25-87:3			
87:6-87:6			
87:8-87:10			
87:13-87:13			
87:15-87:18			
87:21-87:21			
88:17-88:25			
89:3-89:3			
89:5-89:8			
103:20-104:1			
104:2-104:3		10110107	
104:6-104:8		104:10-105:1	104:12-13 – T
106:11-106:16	LC, LD		
107:2-107:14	LD, MIL		
108:7-108:16	LD, MIL		

## **Legend for Pl.'s Objections**

 $AA-Asked \ and \ answered$ 

AR – Argumentative

AF - Assumes facts not in evidence

FD-Foundation

FM-Form

HR - Hearsay

IH – Incomplete hypothetical

IO - Improper opinion

R - Irrelevant

403 - FRE 403

K - Lack of personal knowledge

S - Calls for speculation

A - Assumes facts not in evidence

LC - Legal conclusion

LD – Leading

MD – Mischaracterizes document

 $MR-Misstates \ the \ record$ 

NA - Not authenticated

NT - Not Testimony/Attorney Colloquy

NR - Not responsive to question

PV – Privileged

PR - Prejudicial

RV – Relevance

 $SP-Calls\ for\ speculation$ 

MIL - Evidence subject to exclusion from Motion in Limine

MIL - Subject of granted motion in limine

#### **Legend for Defs.' Counter-Objections**

LC - Calls for legal conclusion

SC - Outside scope of 30(b)(6) topics/deposition

C - Compound

M - Mischaracterizes testimony

F - Lack of foundation HR - Hearsay

T - Not testimony

H - Improper/incomplete hypothetical

Q - Not a question V - Vague

<b>Defs.' Designations</b>	Pl.'s	Pl.'s Counters	Defs.' Counter-
	Objections		Objections
109:20-110:6	LD		
111:4-111:13	LD, MIL		
111:15-111:18	LD, MIL		
111:21-112:11	RV		
112:12-112:14	LD, FM, LC		
112:21-113:6	LD, LC, MIL		
113:9-113:12	LD, LC		
113:14-114:1	LD, LC		
114:4-114:4	LD, LC		
114:6-114:13	LD, MIL		
114:15-114:18	LD, MIL		
114:21-114:25	LD, LC		
116:5-116:7	LD		
116:9-116:9	LD, RV		
117:4-117:9	NT, LD, MIL		
117:11-117:11	LD, MIL		
117:13-117:14	LD, MIL		
117:17-118:10	LD, RV		
118:11-118:13			
118:14-118:21	LD, RV		
118:22-119:4	LD, RV		
119:8-119:11	LD, SP, IH, RV		
119:13-120:1	LD, RV, MIL		
120:3-120:3	LD, RV, LC,		
	MIL		
120:5-120:5	NT, LD, MIL		
120:7-120:7	LD, LC, MIL		
120:9-120:11	NT, LD		
120:14-120:15	LD, LC, MR		
120:17-120:25	NT, LD, MIL		
121:2-121:2	LD, LC, MIL		
121:4-121:4	NT, LD, MIL		
121:6-121:6	LD, LC, MIL		

# <u>Legend for Pl.'s Objections</u> LC – Legal conclusion

 $AA-Asked \ and \ answered$ 

AR – Argumentative

AF – Assumes facts not in evidence

FD-Foundation

FM-Form

HR - Hearsay

IH – Incomplete hypothetical

IO - Improper opinion

R - Irrelevant 403 - FRE 403

K - Lack of personal knowledge

S - Calls for speculation

A - Assumes facts not in evidence

LD – Leading

MD – Mischaracterizes document

 $MR-Misstates \ the \ record$ 

NA - Not authenticated

NT - Not Testimony/Attorney Colloquy

PR - Prejudicial

NR - Not responsive to question

PV – Privileged

RV – Relevance

 $SP-Calls\ for\ speculation$ 

MIL - Evidence subject to exclusion from

Motion in Limine

#### **Legend for Defs.' Counter-Objections**

LC - Calls for legal conclusion

SC - Outside scope of 30(b)(6) topics/deposition

C - Compound

M - Mischaracterizes testimony F - Lack of foundation

HR - Hearsay

MIL - Subject of granted motion in limine

T - Not testimony

H - Improper/incomplete hypothetical

Q - Not a question V - Vague

<b>Defs.' Designations</b>	Pl.'s	Pl.'s Counters	Defs.' Counter-
	Objections		Objections
121:8-121:18	NT, LD, MIL		
121:20-121:20	LD, MR, MIL		
121:22-121:23	LD, MR, MIL		
122:2-122:9	NT, LD, MIL		
122:11-122:11	LD, RV, MIL		
122:13-122:13	NT, LD, MIL		
122:15-122:15	LD, RV, MIL		
122:17-122:19	NT, LD		
122:22-122:22	NT, LD		
122:25-123:6	LD, RV, LC		
123:8-123:13	NT, LD, MIL		
123:15-123:15	LD, LC, MIL		
123:17-123:17	NT, LD, MIL		
123:19-123:19	LD, LC, MIL		
123:21-123:23	LD		
124:15-124:20	NT, LD, MIL	124:2-7	
124:22-124:22	LD, LC, MIL		
124:24-124:25	LD, LC, MIL		
125:3-125:11	NT, LD, MIL		
125:13-125:13	LD, LC, MIL		
125:15-125:15	NT, LD, MIL		
125:17-125:17	LD, LC, MIL		
125:19-125:22	LD, LC		
125:23-126:9	LD, LC, MIL		
126:12-126:14	LD, LC, MIL		
127:4-127:7	LD, FM, LC		
127:11-127:13	LD, FM, LC		
127:15-127:23	NT, LD, MIL		
127:25-127:25	LD, MIL		
128:2-128:2	NT, LD, MIL		
128:4-128:4	LD, MIL		
128:6-128:14	LD, RV	129:16-130:9	129:16-20 – R, Q, 403
			129:21-130-3 – R, 403

# <u>Legend for Pl.'s Objections</u> LC – Legal conclusion

 $AA-Asked \ and \ answered$ 

AR – Argumentative

AF – Assumes facts not in evidence

FD-Foundation

FM-Form

HR - Hearsay

IH – Incomplete hypothetical

IO - Improper opinion

R - Irrelevant

403 - FRE 403

K - Lack of personal knowledge

S - Calls for speculation

A - Assumes facts not in evidence

LD – Leading

MD – Mischaracterizes document

 $MR-Misstates \ the \ record$ 

NA - Not authenticated

NT - Not Testimony/Attorney Colloquy

NR - Not responsive to question

PV – Privileged

PR - Prejudicial

RV - Relevance

 $SP-Calls\ for\ speculation$ 

MIL - Evidence subject to exclusion from Motion in Limine

#### **Legend for Defs.' Counter-Objections**

LC - Calls for legal conclusion

SC - Outside scope of 30(b)(6) topics/deposition

C - Compound

M - Mischaracterizes testimony

F - Lack of foundation

HR - Hearsay

MIL - Subject of granted motion in limine

T - Not testimony

H - Improper/incomplete hypothetical

Q - Not a question V - Vague

<b>Defs.' Designations</b>	Pl.'s	Pl.'s Counters	Defs.' Counter-
	Objections		Objections
			130:4-5 – T
			130:6-9 – R, 403

### **Legend for Pl.'s Objections**

 $AA-Asked \ and \ answered$ AR – Argumentative

AF – Assumes facts not in evidence

FD-Foundation

FM-Form

HR - Hearsay

IH – Incomplete hypothetical

IO - Improper opinion

R - Irrelevant

403 - FRE 403

K - Lack of personal knowledge

S - Calls for speculation

A - Assumes facts not in evidence

LC - Legal conclusion

LD – Leading

MD – Mischaracterizes document

 $MR-Misstates \ the \ record$ 

NA - Not authenticated

NT - Not Testimony/Attorney Colloquy

NR - Not responsive to question

PV – Privileged

PR - Prejudicial

RV - Relevance

 $SP-Calls\ for\ speculation$ 

MIL - Evidence subject to exclusion from

Motion in Limine

### **Legend for Defs.' Counter-Objections**

LC - Calls for legal conclusion

SC - Outside scope of 30(b)(6) topics/deposition

C - Compound

M - Mischaracterizes testimony

F - Lack of foundation

HR - Hearsay

MIL - Subject of granted motion in limine

T - Not testimony

H - Improper/incomplete hypothetical

Q - Not a question V - Vague

Dated: New York; NY April 8, 2024

### /s/ Dale M. Cendali

Dale M. Cendali (admitted pro hac vice)
Joshua L. Simmons (admitted pro hac vice)
Christopher T. Ilardi (admitted pro hac vice)
Joshua C. Berlowitz (admitted pro hac vice)
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 446-4800
dale.cendali@kirkland.com
joshua.simmons@kirkland.com
chris.ilardi@kirkland.com
josh.berlowitz@kirkland.com

Miranda D. Means (admitted *pro hac vice*) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 200 Clarendon Street Boston, Massachusetts 02116 Telephone: (617) 385-7500 miranda.means@kirkland.com

Yungmoon Chang (admitted *pro hac vice*) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 2049 Century Park East Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 552-4359 yungmoon.chang@kirkland.com

Matthew J. Cavanagh (OH 0079522) MCDONALD HOPKINS LLC 600 Superior Avenue; East; Ste. 2100 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 Telephone: 216.348.5400 Fax: 216.348.5474 mcavanagh@mcdonaldhopkins.com

Attorneys for Defendants 2K Games; Inc. and Take-Two Interactive Software; Inc.